		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,277	10/29/2003	Todd Hays	074872.0105	6495
31625 75	590 06/24/2005		EXAM	INER
BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.			PECHHOLD, ALEXANDRA K	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX			3671	
			DATE MAILED: 06/24/2005	5

5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/696,277	HAYS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alexandra K. Pechhold	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	n the correspondence	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day; - If NO period for reply sis specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH y statute, cause the application to become ABAI	bly be timely filed (30) days will be considered tir HS from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) $\boxtimes$ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n 14 June 2005.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a		rs, prosecution as to t	he merits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the app	lication.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-11,13-18 and 20</u> is/are rejecte	ed.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example $\Box$			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the $c$			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ane Evanimer, note the attached (		-10-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	preign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	manda hava kasa sa sa t		
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		aligation No	
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docu</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ul>			al Stane
application from the International B			u vlaye
* See the attached detailed Office action for		eceived.	
ttachment(s) ) ⊠ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO 442)	
	4) 🛄 Interview Sun		
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S</li> </ul>		Vail Date rmal Patent Application (P	

### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

## 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

### 2. Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 9, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

### unpatentable over Dillingham (US 6,012,870) in view of Birtchet (Re 36,981).

Regarding claim 1, Dillingham discloses a pavement repair system comprising:

- a vehicle, disclosed in column 3, lines 2-6,
- a hopper on the vehicle, seen as mixing chamber (21),
- at least one flameless heating element, seen as electric immersion heater
   (59) (Col 4, lines 8-9), the heating element operable to maintain aggregate
   material in the hopper within a selected temperature range; and
- an on board generator disposed on the vehicle, seen as the 6000 watt on board generator that supplies electricity to power heater (59) (Col 4, lines 10-12), powered by the vehicle and operable to provide power to the at least one flameless heating element during vehicle operation.

Dillingham fails to disclose aggregate materials, because Dillingham discloses that the new materials which are preferred for use in the method do not generally require mixing stone aggregate with an asphaltic binder in the mixing chamber (Col 2,

lines 39-41). Yet it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Dillingham so that the heating element is operable to maintain *aggregate* material, since the claim language as written merely requires the heating element to be capable of maintaining aggregate in the hopper within a selected temperature, which the heating element of Dillingham is entirely capable of doing, and furthermore, Dillingham states in column 2, lines 39-41 that aggregate is not generally required, which leaves the possibility that it may be used even though it is not necessary.

Dillingham also fails to disclose the on-board generator as being hydraulically driven. Birtchet teaches a paving machine (12) utilizing an electric generator (44), with each generator mounted on the paving machine and powered by connecting an existing oil supply from the paver to a hydraulic motor, which then turns the generator (AC or DC generator) (Col 4, lines 27-42). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the on-board generator of Dillingham to be hydraulically driven as taught by Birtchet, since Birtchet teaches how an on-board generator can be powered by an existing oil supply from the paver via the motor in column 4, lines 27-42, thereby making use the supply of oil already on hand as part of the paving machine.

Regarding claim 2, Dillingham discloses an electric heater (59).

Regarding claim 3, Dillingham discloses that heater (59) is an electric immersion heater in column 4, lines 8-9.

Regarding claim 8, Dillingham discloses commercially available temperature gages (82, 84 in Fig. 7) used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber (Col 4, lines 12-14).

Regarding claim 9, the mixing chamber (21) is an enclosed cylinder, which can be viewed as an air jacket.

Regarding claim 18, Dillingham discloses the limitations of the claimed invention as discussed in regards to claims 1 and 9 above.

Dillingham fails to disclose aggregate materials, because Dillingham discloses that the new materials which are preferred for use in the method do not generally require mixing stone aggregate with an asphaltic binder in the mixing chamber (Col 2, lines 39-41). Yet it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Dillingham so that the heating element is operable to maintain *aggregate* material, since the claim language as written merely requires the heating element to be capable of maintaining aggregate in the hopper within a selected temperature, which the heating element of Dillingham is entirely capable of doing, and furthermore, Dillingham states in column 2, lines 39-41 that aggregate is not generally required, which leaves the possibility that it may be used even though it is not necessary.

Dillingham also fails to disclose the on-board generator as being hydraulically driven. Birtchet teaches a paving machine (12) utilizing an electric generator (44), with each generator mounted on the paving machine and powered by connecting an existing oil supply from the paver to a hydraulic motor, which then turns the generator (AC or DC

generator) (Col 4, lines 27-42). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the on-board generator of Dillingham to be hydraulically driven as taught by Birtchet, since Birtchet teaches how an on-board generator can be powered by an existing oil supply from the paver via the motor in column 4, lines 27-42, thereby making use the supply of oil already on hand as part of the paving machine.

Regarding claims 6 and 20, Dillingham fails to disclose the maintaining the aggregate materials within the hopper between 250-350 or 275-300 degrees Fahrenheit. But Dillingham does disclose that commercially available temperature gages (82, 84 in Fig. 7) are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber (Col 4, lines 12-15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the temperature of the mixing chamber in Dillingham so that materials are maintained between 250-350 or 275-300 degrees Fahrenheit, since Dillingham discloses in column 4, lines 12-15 that commercially available temperature gages are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber, and furthermore, asphalt is heated to a desired temperature based on the application, materials, etc.

3. Claims 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillingham (US 6,012,870) and Birtchet (Re 36,981) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Dillingham (US 5,988,935).

Regarding claim 4, Dillingham '870 fails to disclose two heating elements.

Dillingham '870 just discloses the one heating element (59). Dillingham '935 teaches two electric heating elements (25, 27) seen in Fig. 3 as disposed within an air jacket proximate the hopper above. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the single electric heating element of Dillingham '870 to comprise two electric heating elements disposed within an air jacket proximate the hopper as taught by Dillingham '935, since Dillingham '935 states in column 6 lines 24-33 that the hopper compartment can be heated more economically with a dry radiant heat source, and thereby having two such heat sources improves the efficiency and economy of the heating process.

Regarding claim 5, Dillingham discloses a 54.75 kw heater in column 4, line 9.

Regarding claim 7, Dillingham '870 fails to disclose a first and second heating element, and maintaining the aggregate materials temperature between 275-300 degrees Fahrenheit. But Dillingham '870 does disclose that commercially available temperature gages (82, 84 in Fig. 7) are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber (Col 4, lines 12-15). Dillingham '870 just discloses the one heating element (59). Dillingham '935 teaches two electric heating elements (25, 27) seen in Fig. 3 as disposed within an air jacket proximate the hopper above, one being adjacent to a first side of the hopper and the other adjacent a second side of the hopper in Fig. 3. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the single electric heating element of Dillingham '870 to comprise two electric heating elements disposed within an air jacket proximate the hopper as taught by Dillingham '935, since Dillingham '935 states

in column 6 lines 24-33 that the hopper compartment can be heated more economically with a dry radiant heat source, and thereby having two such heat sources improves the efficiency and economy of the heating process. It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the temperature of the mixing chamber in Dillingham '870 to be maintained so that the materials are between 275-350 degrees Fahrenheit, since Dillingham discloses in column 4, lines 12-15 that commercially available temperature gages are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber, and furthermore, asphalt is heated to a desired temperature based on the application, materials, etc.

4. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillingham (US 6,012,870) and Birtchet (Re 36,981) as applied to claim 1, and further in view of Kleiger (US 5,419,654).

Regarding claim 10, Dillingham fails to disclose alternate powering by an external power source. Kleiger teaches auxiliary means in the form of electrical heating elements inserted into opposing ends of a heating tube for coupling with external powers such as a 110 V AC source (Col 2, lines 15-18). It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the heating element of Dillingham to alternately have an external power source as taught by Kleiger, since an external power source serves as a back-up source of power in the event the on-board generator fails.

Regarding claim 11, a power cord is well-known for supplying power.

5. Claims 13 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillingham (US 6,012,870) and Birtchet (Re 36,981) in view of Kleiger (US 5,419,654).

Regarding claim 13, Dillingham discloses the hopper body, at least one flameless heating element, and on-board generator powered by a pavement repair vehicle as discussed in regards to claim 1 above.

Dillingham fails to disclose aggregate materials, because Dillingham discloses that the new materials which are preferred for use in the method do not generally require mixing stone aggregate with an asphaltic binder in the mixing chamber (Col 2, lines 39-41). Yet it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Dillingham so that the heating element is operable to maintain *aggregate* material, since the claim language as written merely requires the heating element to be capable of maintaining aggregate in the hopper within a selected temperature, which the heating element of Dillingham is entirely capable of doing, and furthermore, Dillingham states in column 2, lines 39-41 that aggregate is not generally required, which leaves the possibility that it may be used even though it is not necessary.

Dillingham also fails to disclose the on-board generator as being hydraulically driven. Birtchet teaches a paving machine (12) utilizing an electric generator (44), with each generator mounted on the paving machine and powered by connecting an existing oil supply from the paver to a hydraulic motor, which then turns the generator (AC or DC generator) (Col 4, lines 27-42). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the on-board generator of Dillingham to be hydraulically driven as taught by Birtchet, since Birtchet teaches how an on-board generator can be powered by an existing oil supply from the paver via the motor in column 4, lines 27-42, thereby making use the supply of oil already on hand as part of the paving machine.

Dillingham fails to disclose alternate powering by an external power source. Kleiger teaches auxiliary means in the form of electrical heating elements inserted into opposing ends of a heating tube for coupling with external powers such as a 110 V AC source (Col 2, lines 15-18). It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the heating element of Dillingham to alternately have an external power source as taught by Kleiger, since an external power source serves as a back-up source of power in the event the on-board generator fails.

Regarding claim 15, Dillingham discloses that heater (59) is an electric immersion heater in column 4, lines 8-9.

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Dillingham fails to disclose the maintaining the aggregate materials within the hopper between 250-350 degrees or 275-300 degrees Fahrenheit. But Dillingham does disclose that commercially available temperature gages (82, 84 in Fig. 7) are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber (Col 4, lines 12-15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the temperature of the mixing chamber in Dillingham to be maintained between 250-350 or

275-300 degrees Fahrenheit, since Dillingham discloses in column 4, lines 12-15 that commercially available temperature gages are used to constantly monitor the temperature of the heat chamber and mixer chamber, and furthermore, asphalt is heated to a desired temperature based on the application, materials, etc.

6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dillingham (US 6,012,870), Birtchet (Re 36,981), and Kleiger (US 5,419,654) as applied to claim 13 above, and further in view of Dillingham (US 5,988,935). Dillingham '870 fails to disclose two heating elements. Dillingham '870 just discloses the one heating element (59). Dillingham '935 teaches two electric heating elements (25, 27) seen in Fig. 3 as disposed within an air jacket proximate the hopper above. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the single electric heating element of Dillingham '870 to comprise two electric heating elements disposed within an air jacket as taught by Dillingham '935, since Dillingham '935 states in column 6 lines 24-33 that the hopper compartment can be heated more economically with a dry radiant heat source, and thereby having two such heat sources improves the efficiency and economy of the heating process.

#### **Response to Arguments**

7. Applicant's arguments filed 6/14/05 have been fully considered and are persuasive, but after further consideration of the prior art, the Examiner is issuing another non-final office action in light of a newly applied reference to the claims (see above claim rejections). The art now being used for the teaching of a hydraulically

driven on board generator (Birtchet, Re. 36,981) is also in the art of paving machines, as opposed to the art of steel and scrap metal industries in the previous office action (Clutter, US 5,977,730). The Examiner believes that the Birtchet patent, now being used to teach a hydraulically driven generator, is within the art of paving machines and therefore provides the necessary motivation to modify the Dillingham generator.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexandra Pechhold whose telephone number is (571) 272-6994. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs. from 8:00am to 5:30pm and alternating Fridays from 8:00am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (571) 272-6998. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600

AKP 6/22/05